### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To: see form PCT/ISA/220				PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
	or agent's file PCT/ISA/22			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. International filing data PCT/GB2004,004571 28.10.2004			International filing date (c 28.10.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 30.10.2003
			both national classification E02D1/04, G01F17/0		
Applicant					
MURTAG	H, Brian J.				
1. This	opinion co	ntains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:	
⊠в	ox No. 1	Basis of the op	inion		
⊠в	ox No. II	Priority			
□в	ox Na. III	Non-establishr	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inver	ntive step and industrial applicability
□B	ox No. IV	Lack of unity of	f invention		
⊠B	ox No. V		ement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> s tations and explanations		to novelty, inventive step or industrial tatement
□в	ox No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited		
□в	ox No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	olication	•
□в	ox No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application	
2. FUR	THER ACTI	ON			·
writte the a Inten	en opinion of oplicant cho	f the Internations coses an Author eau under Rule	al Preliminary Examinin itv other than this one to	g Authority ("IPEA")  be the IPEA and t	vill usually be considered to be a  . However, this does not apply where ne chosen IPEA has notifed the national Searching Authority
subm mont	it to the IPE	A a written replicate of mailing	v together, where appro	priate, with amend	ne IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments, before the expiration of three on of 22 months from the priority date,
For fi	urther option	ns, see Form PC	TASA/220.	•	
3. For fi	urther detail	s, see notes to I	Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and n	nailing addres	ss of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	garde Politicana
	European	Patent Office - P F	3. 5818 Patentlaan 2	ĺ	<i>!   (</i>
<u></u>	NL-2280 H	Patent Office - P.E IV Rijswijk - Pays I 340 - 2040 Tx: 3		Savage, J	

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004571

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With rethe lar	h regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search noter Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With reneces	ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and cessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:						
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. forn	pat of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time	of filling/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additio	nal comments:					

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/004571

	x No. II Priority						
ı. 🗖	The following document has not been furnished:						
	Copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).						
	☐ translation of the €	earlier application who	ose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).				
	Consequently it has not be nevertheless been establi	een possible to consi shed on the assumpt	der the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has ion that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.				
2. 🗖	This opinion has been est has been found invalid (R filing date indicated above	ules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1	rity had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim  1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international the relevant date.				
3. 🖾	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
4. Ad	Additional observations, if necessary:						
	x No. V Reasoned state lustrial applicability; citat	ment under Rule 43 ions and explanation	Bbis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or ns supporting such statement				
	atement	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
No	velty (N)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-6				
lnv	entive step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-6				

Citations and explanations see separate sheet

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/004571

### Re Item V.

The following document is referred to in this communication:
D1: US 5 382 120 A (PARSONS ET AL) 17 January 1995 (1995-01-17)

Document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document), a measuring device having a tubular body (Fig. 2, ref. 1) with graded scales extending therealong so as to enable the sample to be viewed through the body (Fig. 2, ref. 4) and means for supporting the sample located within the tubular body which means is mounted for longitudinal movement relative to the tubular body (Fig. 2, ref. 2).

### 2.1 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

As can be seen from the above, document D1 discloses in combination all the features defined in independent claim 1. Hence the subject-matter of this claim is not new (Article 33(2) PCT).

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-6

Dependent claims 2-6 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).